

PyCAMA report generated by tropl2-proc

tropl2-proc

2025-03-05 (03:00)

1 Short Introduction

1.1 The list of parameters

You may want to keep the list given in table 1 at hand when viewing the results.

2 Definitions

The averages shown here are *unweighed* averages:

$$\bar{x} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i \quad (1)$$

with N the number of observations in the dataset.

The spread of the measurements is indicated with the variance $V(x)$, or rather the standard deviation $\sigma(x) = \sqrt{V(x)}$.

$$V(x) = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \quad (2)$$

We also report the more robust statistics median, minimum, maximum, various percentiles and inter quartile range.

The median m is the value of parameter x for which half of the observations of x is smaller than m :

$$P(x \leq m) = P(x \geq m) = \int_{-\infty}^m f(x) dx = \frac{1}{2} \quad (3)$$

with $f(x)$ the probability density function.

The median is a special case of a percentile. Instead of 1/2 in equation 3, other threshold values can be used. We report results for 1 %, 5 %, 10 %, 15.9 %, 25 %, 75 %, 84.1 %, 90 %, 95 % and 99 %. The inter quartile range is the difference between the 75 % and 25 % percentiles. Similarly the minimum and maximum values correspond to the 0 % and 100 % percentiles respectively.

For normally distributed parameters the mean and median are the same, while the $\mu \pm \sigma$ values and the 15.9 % and 84.1 % percentiles coincide.

To get a measure for the relation of one variable $x_{(k)}$ with another $x_{(l)}$, we calculate the covariance matrix C_{kl} .

$$C_{kl} = C(x_{(k)}, x_{(l)}) = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_{(k),i} - \bar{x}_{(k)})(x_{(l),i} - \bar{x}_{(l)}) \quad (4)$$

Rather than a dimensionally dependent covariance, it is often easier to interpret a correlation matrix R_{kl} , a matrix of Pearson's r coefficients:

$$R_{kl} = R(x_{(k)}, x_{(l)}) = \frac{C_{kl}}{\sqrt{C_{kk}C_{ll}}} = \frac{C_{kl}}{\sqrt{V(x_{(k)})V(x_{(l)})}} \quad (5)$$

The diagonal elements of the covariance matrix are the variances of the elements, $V(x_{(k)}) = C_{kk}$ and obviously $R_{kk} = 1$.

Table 1: Parameterlist and basic statistics for the analysis

Variable	mean $\pm \sigma$	Count	Mode	IQR	Median	Minimum	Maximum
qa value [1]	0.706 ± 0.300	815365	0.995	0.600	1.000	0.360	1.000
methane mixing ratio [parts per 10^9]	$(0.186 \pm 0.008) \times 10^4$	815365	1.917×10^3	103	1.895×10^3	1.044×10^3	2.347×10^3
methane mixing ratio precision [parts per 10^9]	2.85 ± 2.22	815365	1.30	2.05	2.22	0.808	68.0
methane mixing ratio bias corrected [parts per 10^9]	$(0.186 \pm 0.008) \times 10^4$	815365	1.913×10^3	93.1	1.894×10^3	1.072×10^3	2.412×10^3
number of spectral points in retrieval [1]	798 ± 3	815365	798	4.00	798	772	805
wavelength calibration offset SWIR [nm]	$(-1.084 \pm 0.271) \times 10^{-2}$	815365	-1.100×10^{-2}	2.139×10^{-3}	-1.066×10^{-2}	-7.192×10^{-2}	4.968×10^{-2}
chi square SWIR [1]	$(0.182 \pm 0.375) \times 10^5$	815365	2.750×10^3	2.360×10^4	6.944×10^3	1.534×10^3	2.371×10^7
chi square NIR [1]	$(0.991 \pm 717.678) \times 10^6$	815365	6.150×10^3	8.087×10^3	1.024×10^4	117	6.471×10^{11}
degrees of freedom [1]	18.1 ± 0.5	815365	18.4	0.867	18.1	17.0	21.0
number of iterations [1]	10.1 ± 1.1	815365	10.2	0.0	10.00	10.00	30.0
fluorescence [$\text{mol s}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ nm}^{-1} \text{ sr}^{-1}$]	$(-1.035 \pm 3.065) \times 10^{-8}$	815365	-9.300×10^{-9}	3.268×10^{-8}	-1.438×10^{-8}	-3.812×10^{-7}	1.931×10^{-6}

Table 2: Percentile ranges

Variable	1 %	5 %	10 %	15.9 %	25 %	75 %	84.1 %	90 %	95 %	99 %
qa value [1]	0.400	0.400	0.400	0.400	0.400	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
methane mixing ratio [parts per 10^9]	1.681×10^3	1.700×10^3	1.714×10^3	1.733×10^3	1.816×10^3	1.919×10^3	1.929×10^3	1.936×10^3	1.944×10^3	1.959×10^3
methane mixing ratio precision [parts per 10^9]	1.04	1.14	1.22	1.28	1.40	3.45	4.23	5.21	6.76	11.6
methane mixing ratio bias corrected [parts per 10^9]	1.688×10^3	1.711×10^3	1.728×10^3	1.747×10^3	1.827×10^3	1.920×10^3	1.930×10^3	1.938×10^3	1.949×10^3	1.967×10^3
number of spectral points in retrieval [1]	792	793	794	795	796	800	801	802	803	804
wavelength calibration offset SWIR [nm]	-1.734×10^{-2}	-1.429×10^{-2}	-1.333×10^{-2}	-1.266×10^{-2}	-1.189×10^{-2}	-9.754×10^{-3}	-9.298×10^{-3}	-8.815×10^{-3}	-7.904×10^{-3}	-4.333×10^{-3}
chi square SWIR [1]	2.001×10^3	2.319×10^3	2.602×10^3	2.907×10^3	3.433×10^3	2.703×10^4	3.834×10^4	4.669×10^4	5.663×10^4	9.350×10^4
chi square NIR [1]	1.156×10^3	2.107×10^3	3.301×10^3	4.564×10^3	5.990×10^3	1.408×10^4	1.599×10^4	1.762×10^4	1.970×10^4	2.352×10^4
degrees of freedom [1]	17.2	17.2	17.3	17.5	17.7	18.6	18.7	18.8	18.9	19.1
number of iterations [1]	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	12.0
fluorescence [$\text{mol s}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ nm}^{-1} \text{ sr}^{-1}$]	-6.952×10^{-8}	-5.000×10^{-8}	-4.171×10^{-8}	-3.592×10^{-8}	-2.962×10^{-8}	3.051×10^{-9}	1.506×10^{-8}	2.730×10^{-8}	4.572×10^{-8}	8.809×10^{-8}

Table 3: Parameterlist and basic statistics for the analysis for observations in the northern hemisphere

Variable	mean $\pm \sigma$	Count	IQR	Median	Minimum	Maximum	25 % percentile	75 % percentile
qa value [1]	0.737 ± 0.298	496679	0.600	1.000	0.360	1.000	0.400	1.000
methane mixing ratio [parts per 10^9]	$(0.191 \pm 0.003) \times 10^4$	496679	30.8	1.914×10^3	1.044×10^3	2.347×10^3	1.898×10^3	1.929×10^3
methane mixing ratio precision [parts per 10^9]	2.81 ± 2.33	496679	2.24	1.84	0.826	58.4	1.34	3.58
methane mixing ratio bias corrected [parts per 10^9]	$(0.191 \pm 0.003) \times 10^4$	496679	34.3	1.914×10^3	1.072×10^3	2.412×10^3	1.896×10^3	1.931×10^3
number of spectral points in retrieval [1]	798 ± 3	496679	4.00	798	780	805	796	800
wavelength calibration offset SWIR [nm]	$(-1.099 \pm 0.297) \times 10^{-2}$	496679	2.333×10^{-3}	-1.082×10^{-2}	-7.192×10^{-2}	4.968×10^{-2}	-1.218×10^{-2}	-9.850×10^{-3}
chi square SWIR [1]	$(0.230 \pm 0.462) \times 10^5$	496679	3.162×10^4	1.271×10^4	1.581×10^3	2.371×10^7	4.006×10^3	3.562×10^4
chi square NIR [1]	$(0.151 \pm 91.905) \times 10^7$	496679	8.413×10^3	8.673×10^3	117	6.471×10^{11}	5.315×10^3	1.373×10^4
degrees of freedom [1]	18.3 ± 0.4	496679	0.779	18.4	17.0	21.0	17.9	18.7
number of iterations [1]	10.1 ± 1.3	496679	0.0	10.00	10.00	30.0	10.00	10.00
fluorescence [$\text{mol s}^{-1} \text{m}^{-2} \text{nm}^{-1} \text{sr}^{-1}$]	$(-7.966 \pm 32.416) \times 10^{-9}$	496679	3.288×10^{-8}	-1.203×10^{-8}	-3.812×10^{-7}	1.931×10^{-6}	-2.655×10^{-8}	6.338×10^{-9}

Table 4: Parameterlist and basic statistics for the analysis for observations in the southern hemisphere

Variable	mean $\pm \sigma$	Count	IQR	Median	Minimum	Maximum	25 % percentile	75 % percentile
qa value [1]	0.659 ± 0.297	318686	0.600	0.400	0.400	1.000	0.400	1.000
methane mixing ratio [parts per 10^9]	$(0.178 \pm 0.008) \times 10^4$	318686	140	1.749×10^3	1.198×10^3	2.011×10^3	1.714×10^3	1.854×10^3
methane mixing ratio precision [parts per 10^9]	2.92 ± 2.05	318686	1.52	2.51	0.808	68.0	1.82	3.35
methane mixing ratio bias corrected [parts per 10^9]	$(0.179 \pm 0.007) \times 10^4$	318686	126	1.766×10^3	1.195×10^3	2.051×10^3	1.727×10^3	1.853×10^3
number of spectral points in retrieval [1]	798 ± 3	318686	4.00	798	772	805	796	800
wavelength calibration offset SWIR [nm]	$(-1.060 \pm 0.222) \times 10^{-2}$	318686	1.816×10^{-3}	-1.044×10^{-2}	-6.018×10^{-2}	4.808×10^{-2}	-1.147×10^{-2}	-9.649×10^{-3}
chi square SWIR [1]	$(0.107 \pm 0.134) \times 10^5$	318686	9.477×10^3	4.394×10^3	1.534×10^3	1.165×10^6	2.918×10^3	1.240×10^4
chi square NIR [1]	$(0.182 \pm 37.119) \times 10^6$	318686	6.667×10^3	1.185×10^4	158	1.222×10^{10}	7.719×10^3	1.439×10^4
degrees of freedom [1]	17.8 ± 0.5	318686	0.878	17.7	17.0	20.6	17.3	18.2
number of iterations [1]	10.1 ± 0.8	318686	0.0	10.00	10.00	30.0	10.00	10.00
fluorescence [$\text{mol s}^{-1} \text{m}^{-2} \text{nm}^{-1} \text{sr}^{-1}$]	$(-1.408 \pm 2.726) \times 10^{-8}$	318686	3.096×10^{-8}	-1.966×10^{-8}	-3.645×10^{-7}	7.376×10^{-7}	-3.226×10^{-8}	-1.302×10^{-9}

Table 5: Parameterlist and basic statistics for the analysis for observations over water

Variable	mean $\pm \sigma$	Count	IQR	Median	Minimum	Maximum	25 % percentile	75 % percentile
qa value [1]	0.694 ± 0.300	58351	0.600	0.400	0.400	1.000	0.400	1.000
methane mixing ratio [parts per 10^9]	$(0.189 \pm 0.003) \times 10^4$	58351	42.0	1.890×10^3	1.463×10^3	1.977×10^3	1.868×10^3	1.910×10^3
methane mixing ratio precision [parts per 10^9]	3.05 ± 1.18	58351	1.17	2.96	0.808	24.8	2.37	3.53
methane mixing ratio bias corrected [parts per 10^9]	$(0.189 \pm 0.003) \times 10^4$	58351	42.2	1.897×10^3	1.468×10^3	1.985×10^3	1.875×10^3	1.918×10^3
number of spectral points in retrieval [1]	798 ± 3	58351	4.00	798	783	803	796	800
wavelength calibration offset SWIR [nm]	$(-9.928 \pm 1.038) \times 10^{-3}$	58351	1.143×10^{-3}	-9.893×10^{-3}	-2.591×10^{-2}	5.679×10^{-3}	-1.051×10^{-2}	-9.367×10^{-3}
chi square SWIR [1]	$(0.681 \pm 0.616) \times 10^4$	58351	3.943×10^3	5.402×10^3	1.776×10^3	1.117×10^6	4.143×10^3	8.086×10^3
chi square NIR [1]	$(0.437 \pm 39.450) \times 10^4$	58351	1.913×10^3	2.041×10^3	158	9.530×10^7	1.460×10^3	3.373×10^3
degrees of freedom [1]	18.1 ± 0.1	58351	0.163	18.1	17.0	18.8	18.0	18.1
number of iterations [1]	10.0 ± 0.4	58351	0.0	10.00	10.00	30.0	10.00	10.00
fluorescence [$\text{mol s}^{-1} \text{m}^{-2} \text{nm}^{-1} \text{sr}^{-1}$]	$(2.443 \pm 17.595) \times 10^{-9}$	58351	1.476×10^{-8}	-1.713×10^{-9}	-9.469×10^{-8}	3.253×10^{-7}	-7.208×10^{-9}	7.556×10^{-9}

Table 6: Parameterlist and basic statistics for the analysis for observations over land

Variable	mean $\pm \sigma$	Count	IQR	Median	Minimum	Maximum	25 % percentile	75 % percentile
qa value [1]	0.711 ± 0.300	591213	0.600	1.000	0.360	1.000	0.400	1.000
methane mixing ratio [parts per 10^9]	$(0.185 \pm 0.009) \times 10^4$	591213	176	1.890×10^3	1.198×10^3	2.300×10^3	1.742×10^3	1.918×10^3
methane mixing ratio precision [parts per 10^9]	2.68 ± 2.15	591213	1.94	1.98	0.826	68.0	1.33	3.26
methane mixing ratio bias corrected [parts per 10^9]	$(0.185 \pm 0.008) \times 10^4$	591213	155	1.884×10^3	1.195×10^3	2.333×10^3	1.758×10^3	1.913×10^3
number of spectral points in retrieval [1]	798 ± 3	591213	4.00	798	784	805	796	800
wavelength calibration offset SWIR [nm]	$(-1.092 \pm 0.189) \times 10^{-2}$	591213	2.021×10^{-3}	-1.072×10^{-2}	-5.520×10^{-2}	3.045×10^{-2}	-1.190×10^{-2}	-9.875×10^{-3}
chi square SWIR [1]	$(0.214 \pm 0.424) \times 10^5$	591213	3.073×10^4	9.407×10^3	1.534×10^3	2.371×10^7	3.302×10^3	3.403×10^4
chi square NIR [1]	$(0.136 \pm 84.282) \times 10^7$	591213	7.241×10^3	1.172×10^4	695	6.471×10^{11}	7.617×10^3	1.486×10^4
degrees of freedom [1]	18.1 ± 0.6	591213	1.13	18.2	17.0	21.0	17.5	18.7
number of iterations [1]	10.1 ± 1.2	591213	0.0	10.00	10.00	30.0	10.00	10.00
fluorescence [$\text{mol s}^{-1} \text{m}^{-2} \text{nm}^{-1} \text{sr}^{-1}$]	$(-1.038 \pm 3.152) \times 10^{-8}$	591213	3.441×10^{-8}	-1.619×10^{-8}	-2.140×10^{-7}	1.931×10^{-6}	-3.063×10^{-8}	3.784×10^{-9}

Fluorescence

Number of iterations

Degrees of freedom

 χ^2 (NIR) χ^2 (SWIR)Spectral offset SWIR ($\lambda_{\text{true}} - \lambda_{\text{nominal}}$)

Number of points in the spectrum

Bias corrected mole fraction of CH₄Precision of mole fraction of CH₄Mole fraction of CH₄

Latitude

Solar zenith angle

Viewing zenith angle

Table 7: Correlation matrix

1.000	-3.830×10^{-2}	7.649×10^{-2}	0.105	-9.906×10^{-2}	8.104×10^{-2}	4.168×10^{-2}	-0.368	6.727×10^{-2}	1.831×10^{-3}	0.222	5.263×10^{-2}	0.278
-3.830×10^{-2}	1.000	-0.185	-0.510	0.473	-0.440	1.243×10^{-3}	-4.754×10^{-2}	-0.280	-1.367×10^{-3}	-0.696	-2.133×10^{-2}	-0.493
7.649×10^{-2}	-0.185	1.000	0.860	5.255×10^{-2}	0.877	-6.941×10^{-3}	-3.231×10^{-2}	0.120	4.606×10^{-4}	0.495	2.712×10^{-2}	9.636×10^{-2}
0.105	-0.510	0.860	1.000	-0.175	0.983	-1.419×10^{-2}	-3.056×10^{-2}	0.218	2.938×10^{-4}	0.711	1.029×10^{-2}	0.214
-9.906×10^{-2}	0.473	5.255×10^{-2}	-0.175	1.000	-4.988×10^{-2}	-8.297×10^{-3}	4.162×10^{-2}	-0.254	-1.059×10^{-3}	-0.448	-2.224×10^{-2}	-0.207
8.104×10^{-2}	-0.440	0.877	0.983	-4.988×10^{-2}	1.000	-1.646×10^{-2}	-1.904×10^{-2}	0.137	-1.718×10^{-4}	0.596	-6.717×10^{-4}	0.152
4.168×10^{-2}	1.243×10^{-3}	-6.941×10^{-3}	-1.419×10^{-2}	-8.297×10^{-3}	-1.646×10^{-2}	1.000	-1.863×10^{-2}	1.129×10^{-2}	-7.917×10^{-4}	3.485×10^{-3}	8.146×10^{-3}	2.327×10^{-2}
-0.368	-4.754×10^{-2}	-3.231×10^{-2}	-3.056×10^{-2}	4.162×10^{-2}	-1.904×10^{-2}	-1.863×10^{-2}	1.000	-1.807×10^{-2}	-7.415×10^{-4}	-6.973×10^{-2}	-1.672×10^{-2}	-0.167
6.727×10^{-2}	-0.280	0.120	0.218	-0.254	0.137	1.129×10^{-2}	-1.807×10^{-2}	1.000	2.070×10^{-3}	0.428	9.540×10^{-2}	0.300
1.831×10^{-3}	-1.367×10^{-3}	4.606×10^{-4}	2.938×10^{-4}	-1.059×10^{-3}	-1.718×10^{-4}	-7.917×10^{-4}	-7.415×10^{-4}	2.070×10^{-3}	1.000	2.394×10^{-3}	2.128×10^{-3}	3.003×10^{-2}
0.222	-0.696	0.495	0.711	-0.448	0.596	3.485×10^{-3}	-6.973×10^{-2}	0.428	2.394×10^{-3}	1.000	1.534×10^{-2}	0.480
5.263×10^{-2}	-2.133×10^{-2}	2.712×10^{-2}	1.029×10^{-2}	-2.224×10^{-2}	-6.717×10^{-4}	8.146×10^{-3}	-1.672×10^{-2}	9.540×10^{-2}	2.128×10^{-3}	1.534×10^{-2}	1.000	9.421×10^{-2}
0.278	-0.493	9.636×10^{-2}	0.214	-0.207	0.152	2.327×10^{-2}	-0.167	0.300	3.003×10^{-2}	0.480	9.421×10^{-2}	1.000

Table 8: Covariance matrix

Fluorescence	Number of iterations	Degrees of freedom	χ^2 (NIR)	χ^2 (SWIR)	Spectral offset SWIR ($\lambda_{true} - \lambda_{nominal}$)	Number of points in the spectrum	Bias corrected mole fraction of CH ₄	Precision of mole fraction of CH ₄	Mole fraction of CH ₄	Latitude	Solar zenith angle	Viewing zenith angle
1.375×10^{-7}	0.942	1.92	2.117×10^7	4.063×10^4	-1.606×10^{-2}	1.87	103	-3.55	142	58.8	-11.7	260
-2.869×10^{-7}	-0.450	-7.06	-1.862×10^7	-1.996×10^5	-2.442×10^{-3}	6.579×10^{-2}	-661	20.0	-808	-167	360	-11.7
1.409×10^{-7}	1.44	12.6	1.577×10^7	2.152×10^5	-4.172×10^{-3}	-0.924	3.313×10^3	5.58	3.428×10^3	2.276×10^3	-167	58.8
5.471×10^{-7}	0.956	31.8	1.762×10^7	6.839×10^5	-6.913×10^{-3}	-3.31	6.501×10^3	-32.5	6.986×10^3	3.428×10^3	-808	142
-1.411×10^{-8}	-5.497×10^{-2}	-0.533	-1.691×10^6	-2.119×10^4	2.505×10^{-4}	-5.147×10^{-2}	-8.78	4.95	-32.5	5.58	20.0	-3.55
3.678×10^{-7}	-5.907×10^{-2}	25.3	-9.756×10^6	4.052×10^5	-4.078×10^{-3}	-3.63	6.262×10^3	-8.78	6.501×10^3	3.313×10^3	-661	103
1.989×10^{-9}	2.525×10^{-2}	5.200×10^{-3}	-1.585×10^6	1.181×10^3	-1.406×10^{-4}	7.78	-3.63	-5.147×10^{-2}	-3.31	-0.924	6.579×10^{-2}	1.87
-1.383×10^{-11}	-5.030×10^{-5}	-1.010×10^{-4}	-1.440×10^3	-1.83	7.325×10^{-6}	-1.406×10^{-4}	-4.078×10^{-3}	2.505×10^{-4}	-6.913×10^{-3}	-4.172×10^{-3}	-2.442×10^{-3}	-1.606×10^{-2}
3.452×10^{-4}	3.974×10^3	8.591×10^3	5.568×10^{10}	1.405×10^9	-1.83	1.181×10^3	4.052×10^5	-2.119×10^4	6.839×10^5	2.152×10^5	-1.996×10^5	4.063×10^4
0.660	1.698×10^6	9.195×10^5	5.151×10^{17}	5.568×10^{10}	-1.440×10^3	-1.585×10^6	-9.756×10^6	-1.691×10^6	1.762×10^7	1.577×10^7	-1.862×10^7	2.117×10^7
7.875×10^{-9}	9.119×10^{-3}	0.286	9.195×10^5	8.591×10^3	-1.010×10^{-4}	5.200×10^{-3}	25.3	-0.533	31.8	12.6	-7.06	1.92
3.209×10^{-9}	1.24	9.119×10^{-3}	1.698×10^6	3.974×10^3	-5.030×10^{-5}	2.525×10^{-2}	-5.907×10^{-2}	-5.497×10^{-2}	0.956	1.44	-0.450	0.942
9.394×10^{-16}	3.209×10^{-9}	7.875×10^{-9}	0.660	3.452×10^{-4}	-1.383×10^{-11}	1.989×10^{-9}	3.678×10^{-7}	-1.411×10^{-8}	5.471×10^{-7}	1.409×10^{-7}	-2.869×10^{-7}	1.375×10^{-7}




Figure 1: Map of correlation graph for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 2: Map of correlation matrix for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.

3 Granule outlines




Figure 3: Outline of the granules.

4 Input data monitoring




Figure 4: Input data per granule

5 Warnings and errors




Figure 5: Fraction of pixels with specific warnings and errors during processing

6 World maps




Figure 6: Map of “Mole fraction of CH₄” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19




Figure 7: Map of “Precision of mole fraction of CH₄” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19




Figure 8: Map of “Bias corrected mole fraction of CH₄” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19




Figure 9: Map of “Fluorescence” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19




Figure 10: Map of the number of observations for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19

7 Zonal average




Figure 11: Zonal average of “QA value” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 12: Zonal average of “Mole fraction of CH₄” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 13: Zonal average of “Precision of mole fraction of CH₄” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 14: Zonal average of “Bias corrected mole fraction of CH₄” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 15: Zonal average of “Number of points in the spectrum” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 16: Zonal average of “Spectral offset SWIR ($\lambda_{\text{true}} - \lambda_{\text{nominal}}$)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 17: Zonal average of “ χ^2 (SWIR)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 18: Zonal average of “ χ^2 (NIR)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 19: Zonal average of “Degrees of freedom” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 20: Zonal average of “Number of iterations” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 21: Zonal average of “Fluorescence” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.

8 Histograms

The definitions of the parameters given in this section can be found in section 2.




Figure 22: Histogram of “QA value” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19




Figure 23: Histogram of “Mole fraction of CH₄” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19




Figure 24: Histogram of “Precision of mole fraction of CH₄” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19




Figure 25: Histogram of “Bias corrected mole fraction of CH₄” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19




Figure 26: Histogram of “Number of points in the spectrum” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19




Figure 27: Histogram of “Spectral offset SWIR ($\lambda_{\text{true}} - \lambda_{\text{nominal}}$)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19




Figure 28: Histogram of “ χ^2 (SWIR)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19




Figure 29: Histogram of “ χ^2 (NIR)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19




Figure 30: Histogram of “Degrees of freedom” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19




Figure 31: Histogram of “Number of iterations” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19




Figure 32: Histogram of “Fluorescence” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19

9 Along track statistics

The TROPOMI instrument uses different binned detector rows for different viewing directions. In this section statistics are presented for each of the binned rows in the instrument.




Figure 33: Along track statistics of “QA value” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19




Figure 34: Along track statistics of “Mole fraction of CH₄” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19




Figure 35: Along track statistics of “Precision of mole fraction of CH₄” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19




Figure 36: Along track statistics of “Bias corrected mole fraction of CH₄” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19




Figure 37: Along track statistics of “Number of points in the spectrum” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19




Figure 38: Along track statistics of “Spectral offset SWIR ($\lambda_{\text{true}} - \lambda_{\text{nominal}}$)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19




Figure 39: Along track statistics of “ χ^2 (SWIR)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19




Figure 40: Along track statistics of “ χ^2 (NIR)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19




Figure 41: Along track statistics of “Degrees of freedom” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19




Figure 42: Along track statistics of “Number of iterations” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19




Figure 43: Along track statistics of "Fluorescence" for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19

10 Coincidence density

To investigate the relation between parameters scatter density plots are produced. These include some ‘hidden’ parameters, latitude and the solar- and viewing geometries, in addition to all configured parameters. All combinations of pairs of parameters are included *once*, in one direction alone.




Figure 44: Scatter density plot of “ χ^2 (NIR)” against “Degrees of freedom” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 45: Scatter density plot of “ χ^2 (NIR)” against “Fluorescence” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 46: Scatter density plot of “ χ^2 (NIR)” against “Number of iterations” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 47: Scatter density plot of “ χ^2 (SWIR)” against “ χ^2 (NIR)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 48: Scatter density plot of “ χ^2 (SWIR)” against “Degrees of freedom” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 49: Scatter density plot of “ χ^2 (SWIR)” against “Fluorescence” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 50: Scatter density plot of “ χ^2 (SWIR)” against “Number of iterations” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 51: Scatter density plot of “Degrees of freedom” against “Fluorescence” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 52: Scatter density plot of “Degrees of freedom” against “Number of iterations” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 53: Scatter density plot of “Latitude” against “ χ^2 (NIR)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 54: Scatter density plot of “Latitude” against “ χ^2 (SWIR)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 55: Scatter density plot of “Latitude” against “Degrees of freedom” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 56: Scatter density plot of “Latitude” against “Fluorescence” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 57: Scatter density plot of “Latitude” against “Mole fraction of CH₄” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 58: Scatter density plot of “Latitude” against “Bias corrected mole fraction of CH₄” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 59: Scatter density plot of “Latitude” against “Precision of mole fraction of CH₄” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 60: Scatter density plot of “Latitude” against “Number of iterations” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 61: Scatter density plot of “Latitude” against “Number of points in the spectrum” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 62: Scatter density plot of “Latitude” against “Spectral offset SWIR ($\lambda_{\text{true}} - \lambda_{\text{nominal}}$)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 63: Scatter density plot of “Bias corrected mole fraction of CH₄” against “ χ^2 (NIR)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 64: Scatter density plot of “Bias corrected mole fraction of CH₄” against “ χ^2 (SWIR)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 65: Scatter density plot of “Bias corrected mole fraction of CH₄” against “Degrees of freedom” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 66: Scatter density plot of “Bias corrected mole fraction of CH_4 ” against “Fluorescence” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 67: Scatter density plot of “Bias corrected mole fraction of CH₄” against “Number of iterations” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 68: Scatter density plot of “Bias corrected mole fraction of CH₄” against “Number of points in the spectrum” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 69: Scatter density plot of “Bias corrected mole fraction of CH₄” against “Spectral offset SWIR ($\lambda_{\text{true}} - \lambda_{\text{nominal}}$)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 70: Scatter density plot of “Mole fraction of CH₄” against “ χ^2 (NIR)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 71: Scatter density plot of “Mole fraction of CH_4 ” against “ χ^2 (SWIR)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 72: Scatter density plot of “Mole fraction of CH₄” against “Degrees of freedom” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 73: Scatter density plot of “Mole fraction of CH_4 ” against “Fluorescence” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 74: Scatter density plot of “Mole fraction of CH₄” against “Bias corrected mole fraction of CH₄” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 75: Scatter density plot of “Mole fraction of CH₄” against “Precision of mole fraction of CH₄” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 76: Scatter density plot of “Mole fraction of CH₄” against “Number of iterations” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 77: Scatter density plot of “Mole fraction of CH₄” against “Number of points in the spectrum” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 78: Scatter density plot of “Precision of mole fraction of CH₄” against “ χ^2 (NIR)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 79: Scatter density plot of “Precision of mole fraction of CH₄” against “ χ^2 (SWIR)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 80: Scatter density plot of “Precision of mole fraction of CH₄” against “Degrees of freedom” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 81: Scatter density plot of “Precision of mole fraction of CH₄” against “Fluorescence” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 82: Scatter density plot of “Precision of mole fraction of CH₄” against “Bias corrected mole fraction of CH₄” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 83: Scatter density plot of “Precision of mole fraction of CH₄” against “Number of iterations” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 84: Scatter density plot of “Precision of mole fraction of CH₄” against “Number of points in the spectrum” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 85: Scatter density plot of “Precision of mole fraction of CH_4 ” against “Spectral offset SWIR ($\lambda_{\text{true}} - \lambda_{\text{nominal}}$)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 86: Scatter density plot of “Mole fraction of CH₄” against “Spectral offset SWIR ($\lambda_{\text{true}} - \lambda_{\text{nominal}}$)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 87: Scatter density plot of “Number of iterations” against “Fluorescence” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 88: Scatter density plot of “Number of points in the spectrum” against “ χ^2 (NIR)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 89: Scatter density plot of “Number of points in the spectrum” against “ χ^2 (SWIR)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 90: Scatter density plot of “Number of points in the spectrum” against “Degrees of freedom” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 91: Scatter density plot of “Number of points in the spectrum” against “Fluorescence” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.





Figure 92: Scatter density plot of “Number of points in the spectrum” against “Number of iterations” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 93: Scatter density plot of “Number of points in the spectrum” against “Spectral offset SWIR ($\lambda_{\text{true}} - \lambda_{\text{nominal}}$)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 94: Scatter density plot of “Solar zenith angle” against “ χ^2 (NIR)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 95: Scatter density plot of “Solar zenith angle” against “ χ^2 (SWIR)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 96: Scatter density plot of “Solar zenith angle” against “Degrees of freedom” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 97: Scatter density plot of “Solar zenith angle” against “Fluorescence” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 98: Scatter density plot of “Solar zenith angle” against “Latitude” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 99: Scatter density plot of “Solar zenith angle” against “Mole fraction of CH₄” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 100: Scatter density plot of “Solar zenith angle” against “Bias corrected mole fraction of CH₄” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 101: Scatter density plot of “Solar zenith angle” against “Precision of mole fraction of CH_4 ” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 102: Scatter density plot of “Solar zenith angle” against “Number of iterations” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 103: Scatter density plot of “Solar zenith angle” against “Number of points in the spectrum” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 104: Scatter density plot of “Solar zenith angle” against “Spectral offset SWIR ($\lambda_{\text{true}} - \lambda_{\text{nominal}}$)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 105: Scatter density plot of “Viewing zenith angle” against “ χ^2 (NIR)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 106: Scatter density plot of “Viewing zenith angle” against “ χ^2 (SWIR)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 107: Scatter density plot of “Viewing zenith angle” against “Degrees of freedom” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 108: Scatter density plot of “Viewing zenith angle” against “Fluorescence” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 109: Scatter density plot of “Viewing zenith angle” against “Latitude” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 110: Scatter density plot of “Viewing zenith angle” against “Mole fraction of CH_4 ” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 111: Scatter density plot of “Viewing zenith angle” against “Bias corrected mole fraction of CH₄” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 112: Scatter density plot of “Viewing zenith angle” against “Precision of mole fraction of CH₄” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 113: Scatter density plot of “Viewing zenith angle” against “Number of iterations” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 114: Scatter density plot of “Viewing zenith angle” against “Number of points in the spectrum” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 115: Scatter density plot of “Viewing zenith angle” against “Solar zenith angle” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 116: Scatter density plot of “Viewing zenith angle” against “Spectral offset SWIR ($\lambda_{\text{true}} - \lambda_{\text{nominal}}$)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 117: Scatter density plot of “Spectral offset SWIR ($\lambda_{\text{true}} - \lambda_{\text{nominal}}$)” against “ χ^2 (NIR)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 118: Scatter density plot of “Spectral offset SWIR ($\lambda_{\text{true}} - \lambda_{\text{nominal}}$)” against “ χ^2 (SWIR)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 119: Scatter density plot of “Spectral offset SWIR ($\lambda_{\text{true}} - \lambda_{\text{nominal}}$)” against “Degrees of freedom” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 120: Scatter density plot of “Spectral offset SWIR ($\lambda_{\text{true}} - \lambda_{\text{nominal}}$)” against “Fluorescence” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.




Figure 121: Scatter density plot of “Spectral offset SWIR ($\lambda_{\text{true}} - \lambda_{\text{nominal}}$)” against “Number of iterations” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.

Contents

1	Short Introduction	1
1.1	The list of parameters	1
2	Definitions	1
3	Granule outlines	12
4	Input data monitoring	13
5	Warnings and errors	14
6	World maps	15
7	Zonal average	20
8	Histograms	31
9	Along track statistics	42
10	Coincidence density	53
11	Copyright information of ‘PyCAMA’	131

List of Figures

1	Map of correlation graph for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	10
2	Map of correlation matrix for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	11
3	Outline of the granules.	12
4	Input data per granule	13
5	Fraction of pixels with specific warnings and errors during processing	14
6	Map of “Mole fraction of CH ₄ ” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19	15
7	Map of “Precision of mole fraction of CH ₄ ” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19	16
8	Map of “Bias corrected mole fraction of CH ₄ ” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19	17
9	Map of “Fluorescence” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19	18
10	Map of the number of observations for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19	19
11	Zonal average of “QA value” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	20
12	Zonal average of “Mole fraction of CH ₄ ” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	21
13	Zonal average of “Precision of mole fraction of CH ₄ ” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	22
14	Zonal average of “Bias corrected mole fraction of CH ₄ ” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	23
15	Zonal average of “Number of points in the spectrum” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	24
16	Zonal average of “Spectral offset SWIR ($\lambda_{\text{true}} - \lambda_{\text{nominal}}$)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	25
17	Zonal average of “ χ^2 (SWIR)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	26
18	Zonal average of “ χ^2 (NIR)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	27
19	Zonal average of “Degrees of freedom” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	28
20	Zonal average of “Number of iterations” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	29
21	Zonal average of “Fluorescence” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	30
22	Histogram of “QA value” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19	31
23	Histogram of “Mole fraction of CH ₄ ” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19	32
24	Histogram of “Precision of mole fraction of CH ₄ ” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19	33
25	Histogram of “Bias corrected mole fraction of CH ₄ ” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19	34
26	Histogram of “Number of points in the spectrum” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19	35
27	Histogram of “Spectral offset SWIR ($\lambda_{\text{true}} - \lambda_{\text{nominal}}$)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19	36
28	Histogram of “ χ^2 (SWIR)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19	37
29	Histogram of “ χ^2 (NIR)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19	38
30	Histogram of “Degrees of freedom” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19	39
31	Histogram of “Number of iterations” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19	40
32	Histogram of “Fluorescence” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19	41
33	Along track statistics of “QA value” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19	42
34	Along track statistics of “Mole fraction of CH ₄ ” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19	43
35	Along track statistics of “Precision of mole fraction of CH ₄ ” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19	44
36	Along track statistics of “Bias corrected mole fraction of CH ₄ ” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19	45

37	Along track statistics of “Number of points in the spectrum” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19	46
38	Along track statistics of “Spectral offset SWIR ($\lambda_{\text{true}} - \lambda_{\text{nominal}}$)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19	47
39	Along track statistics of “ χ^2 (SWIR)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19	48
40	Along track statistics of “ χ^2 (NIR)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19	49
41	Along track statistics of “Degrees of freedom” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19	50
42	Along track statistics of “Number of iterations” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19	51
43	Along track statistics of “Fluorescence” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19	52
44	Scatter density plot of “ χ^2 (NIR)” against “Degrees of freedom” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	53
45	Scatter density plot of “ χ^2 (NIR)” against “Fluorescence” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	54
46	Scatter density plot of “ χ^2 (NIR)” against “Number of iterations” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	55
47	Scatter density plot of “ χ^2 (SWIR)” against “ χ^2 (NIR)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	56
48	Scatter density plot of “ χ^2 (SWIR)” against “Degrees of freedom” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	57
49	Scatter density plot of “ χ^2 (SWIR)” against “Fluorescence” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	58
50	Scatter density plot of “ χ^2 (SWIR)” against “Number of iterations” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	59
51	Scatter density plot of “Degrees of freedom” against “Fluorescence” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	60
52	Scatter density plot of “Degrees of freedom” against “Number of iterations” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	61
53	Scatter density plot of “Latitude” against “ χ^2 (NIR)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	62
54	Scatter density plot of “Latitude” against “ χ^2 (SWIR)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	63
55	Scatter density plot of “Latitude” against “Degrees of freedom” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	64
56	Scatter density plot of “Latitude” against “Fluorescence” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	65
57	Scatter density plot of “Latitude” against “Mole fraction of CH ₄ ” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	66
58	Scatter density plot of “Latitude” against “Bias corrected mole fraction of CH ₄ ” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	67
59	Scatter density plot of “Latitude” against “Precision of mole fraction of CH ₄ ” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	68
60	Scatter density plot of “Latitude” against “Number of iterations” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	69
61	Scatter density plot of “Latitude” against “Number of points in the spectrum” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	70
62	Scatter density plot of “Latitude” against “Spectral offset SWIR ($\lambda_{\text{true}} - \lambda_{\text{nominal}}$)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	71
63	Scatter density plot of “Bias corrected mole fraction of CH ₄ ” against “ χ^2 (NIR)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	72
64	Scatter density plot of “Bias corrected mole fraction of CH ₄ ” against “ χ^2 (SWIR)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	73
65	Scatter density plot of “Bias corrected mole fraction of CH ₄ ” against “Degrees of freedom” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	74
66	Scatter density plot of “Bias corrected mole fraction of CH ₄ ” against “Fluorescence” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	75
67	Scatter density plot of “Bias corrected mole fraction of CH ₄ ” against “Number of iterations” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	76
68	Scatter density plot of “Bias corrected mole fraction of CH ₄ ” against “Number of points in the spectrum” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	77
69	Scatter density plot of “Bias corrected mole fraction of CH ₄ ” against “Spectral offset SWIR ($\lambda_{\text{true}} - \lambda_{\text{nominal}}$)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	78
70	Scatter density plot of “Mole fraction of CH ₄ ” against “ χ^2 (NIR)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	79
71	Scatter density plot of “Mole fraction of CH ₄ ” against “ χ^2 (SWIR)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	80
72	Scatter density plot of “Mole fraction of CH ₄ ” against “Degrees of freedom” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	81
73	Scatter density plot of “Mole fraction of CH ₄ ” against “Fluorescence” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	82
74	Scatter density plot of “Mole fraction of CH ₄ ” against “Bias corrected mole fraction of CH ₄ ” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	83
75	Scatter density plot of “Mole fraction of CH ₄ ” against “Precision of mole fraction of CH ₄ ” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	84
76	Scatter density plot of “Mole fraction of CH ₄ ” against “Number of iterations” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	85
77	Scatter density plot of “Mole fraction of CH ₄ ” against “Number of points in the spectrum” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	86
78	Scatter density plot of “Precision of mole fraction of CH ₄ ” against “ χ^2 (NIR)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	87
79	Scatter density plot of “Precision of mole fraction of CH ₄ ” against “ χ^2 (SWIR)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	88
80	Scatter density plot of “Precision of mole fraction of CH ₄ ” against “Degrees of freedom” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	89
81	Scatter density plot of “Precision of mole fraction of CH ₄ ” against “Fluorescence” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	90
82	Scatter density plot of “Precision of mole fraction of CH ₄ ” against “Bias corrected mole fraction of CH ₄ ” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	91
83	Scatter density plot of “Precision of mole fraction of CH ₄ ” against “Number of iterations” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	92

84	Scatter density plot of “Precision of mole fraction of CH ₄ ” against “Number of points in the spectrum” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	93
85	Scatter density plot of “Precision of mole fraction of CH ₄ ” against “Spectral offset SWIR ($\lambda_{\text{true}} - \lambda_{\text{nominal}}$)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	94
86	Scatter density plot of “Mole fraction of CH ₄ ” against “Spectral offset SWIR ($\lambda_{\text{true}} - \lambda_{\text{nominal}}$)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	95
87	Scatter density plot of “Number of iterations” against “Fluorescence” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	96
88	Scatter density plot of “Number of points in the spectrum” against “ χ^2 (NIR)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	97
89	Scatter density plot of “Number of points in the spectrum” against “ χ^2 (SWIR)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	98
90	Scatter density plot of “Number of points in the spectrum” against “Degrees of freedom” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	99
91	Scatter density plot of “Number of points in the spectrum” against “Fluorescence” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	100
92	Scatter density plot of “Number of points in the spectrum” against “Number of iterations” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	101
93	Scatter density plot of “Number of points in the spectrum” against “Spectral offset SWIR ($\lambda_{\text{true}} - \lambda_{\text{nominal}}$)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	102
94	Scatter density plot of “Solar zenith angle” against “ χ^2 (NIR)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	103
95	Scatter density plot of “Solar zenith angle” against “ χ^2 (SWIR)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	104
96	Scatter density plot of “Solar zenith angle” against “Degrees of freedom” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	105
97	Scatter density plot of “Solar zenith angle” against “Fluorescence” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	106
98	Scatter density plot of “Solar zenith angle” against “Latitude” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	107
99	Scatter density plot of “Solar zenith angle” against “Mole fraction of CH ₄ ” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	108
100	Scatter density plot of “Solar zenith angle” against “Bias corrected mole fraction of CH ₄ ” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	109
101	Scatter density plot of “Solar zenith angle” against “Precision of mole fraction of CH ₄ ” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	110
102	Scatter density plot of “Solar zenith angle” against “Number of iterations” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	111
103	Scatter density plot of “Solar zenith angle” against “Number of points in the spectrum” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	112
104	Scatter density plot of “Solar zenith angle” against “Spectral offset SWIR ($\lambda_{\text{true}} - \lambda_{\text{nominal}}$)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	113
105	Scatter density plot of “Viewing zenith angle” against “ χ^2 (NIR)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	114
106	Scatter density plot of “Viewing zenith angle” against “ χ^2 (SWIR)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	115
107	Scatter density plot of “Viewing zenith angle” against “Degrees of freedom” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	116
108	Scatter density plot of “Viewing zenith angle” against “Fluorescence” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	117
109	Scatter density plot of “Viewing zenith angle” against “Latitude” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	118
110	Scatter density plot of “Viewing zenith angle” against “Mole fraction of CH ₄ ” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	119
111	Scatter density plot of “Viewing zenith angle” against “Bias corrected mole fraction of CH ₄ ” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	120
112	Scatter density plot of “Viewing zenith angle” against “Precision of mole fraction of CH ₄ ” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	121
113	Scatter density plot of “Viewing zenith angle” against “Number of iterations” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	122
114	Scatter density plot of “Viewing zenith angle” against “Number of points in the spectrum” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	123
115	Scatter density plot of “Viewing zenith angle” against “Solar zenith angle” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	124
116	Scatter density plot of “Viewing zenith angle” against “Spectral offset SWIR ($\lambda_{\text{true}} - \lambda_{\text{nominal}}$)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	125
117	Scatter density plot of “Spectral offset SWIR ($\lambda_{\text{true}} - \lambda_{\text{nominal}}$)” against “ χ^2 (NIR)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	126
118	Scatter density plot of “Spectral offset SWIR ($\lambda_{\text{true}} - \lambda_{\text{nominal}}$)” against “ χ^2 (SWIR)” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	127
119	Scatter density plot of “Spectral offset SWIR ($\lambda_{\text{true}} - \lambda_{\text{nominal}}$)” against “Degrees of freedom” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	128
120	Scatter density plot of “Spectral offset SWIR ($\lambda_{\text{true}} - \lambda_{\text{nominal}}$)” against “Fluorescence” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	129
121	Scatter density plot of “Spectral offset SWIR ($\lambda_{\text{true}} - \lambda_{\text{nominal}}$)” against “Number of iterations” for 2025-02-18 to 2025-02-19.	130

List of Tables

1	Parameterlist and basic statistics for the analysis	2
2	Percentile ranges	3
3	Parameterlist and basic statistics for the analysis for observations in the northern hemisphere	4
4	Parameterlist and basic statistics for the analysis for observations in the southern hemisphere	5
5	Parameterlist and basic statistics for the analysis for observations over water	6
6	Parameterlist and basic statistics for the analysis for observations over land	7
7	Correlation matrix	8
8	Covariance matrix	9

11 Copyright information of ‘PyCAMA’

Copyright © 2005 – 2023, Maarten Sneep (KNMI).

All rights reserved.

Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or without modification, are permitted provided that the following conditions are met:

1. Redistributions of source code must retain the above copyright notice, this list of conditions and the following disclaimer.
2. Redistributions in binary form must reproduce the above copyright notice, this list of conditions and the following disclaimer in the documentation and/or other materials provided with the distribution.
3. Neither the name of the copyright holder nor the names of its contributors may be used to endorse or promote products derived from this software without specific prior written permission.

This software is provided by the copyright holders and contributors “as is” and any express or implied warranties, including, but not limited to, the implied warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose are disclaimed. In no event shall the copyright holder or contributors be liable for any direct, indirect, incidental, special, exemplary, or consequential damages (including, but not limited to, procurement of substitute goods or services; loss of use, data, or profits; or business interruption) however caused and on any theory of liability, whether in contract, strict liability, or tort (including negligence or otherwise) arising in any way out of the use of this software, even if advised of the possibility of such damage.

Maarten Sneep (maarten.sneep@knmi.nl).